

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,255	04/23/2004	Jin-Ho Kim	2025-13	3254
52706 IPLA P.A.	7590 12/28/2007		EXAMINER	
3580 WILSHIR	RE BLVD.	SHAIFER HARRIMAN, DANT B		
17TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90010			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		2134	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/709,255	KIM ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Dant B. Shaifer - Harriman	2134	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to , cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communic ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 N</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,		ts is
Disposit	ion of Claims	•		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat	Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 6-10 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3,4 & 6 - 10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or incomparison is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 23 April 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b) □ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	21/4\
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	, ,
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Applicative documents have been received.	cation No eived in this National Stage)
2) Notic 3) Infor	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	Date	

Page 2

10/709,255 Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S.Code not included in this section can be found in the prior office action.
- 2. The prior office actions are incorporated herein by reference.
- 3. Claims 2, 5 have been cancelled.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 have been amended.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 are pending in the application.
- 6. Examiner withdraws the claim objections of c1 c10, due to the correction of by the applicant.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 11/02/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant states: "In addition to the lack of m.SYN cookie, the modified SYN cookie, Cheng does not teach or suggest another feature of the present invention."

10/709,255

Art Unit: 2134

 The examiner respectfully disagrees, applicants logic and reasoning is most in view of new grounds of rejection. Please see the new rejection below.

Applicant states: "For an instance, since Fontes does not suggest or teach the time counter of the firewalls and cannot help but using regular current date and time data, the hash value in Fontes's disclosure must be different in the structure and function. Fontes's blended SYN cookie is distinctly different from the m.SYN cookie of the present invention."

• The examiner respectfully disagrees, the reference Fontes states in Col. 5, lines 32 – 45, the examiner notes that that examiner interprets "time counter," merely as a time counting apparatus, that is able to count time values, furthermore the examiner notes that the reference teaches a time value.

Applicant states: "In contrast, in the present invention, the SYN packet sequence number of the SYN packet produced by the client in the first stage of the process is replaced with the m.SYN cookie.

Also, a part of the SYN packet sequence number produced by the client is used to create the m.SYN cookie to support an incarnation of TCP connection, which is not suggested or taught by Fontes."

10/709,255

Art Unit: 2134

• In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e.," the SYN packet produced by the client in the first stage of the process..... ",) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Applicant states: "Fontes does not disclose the features of the present invention that the m.SYN cookie is used to communicate the information between the firewalls and share the status of the TCP connections across the firewalls."

10/709,255 Art Unit: 2134

• The examiner respectfully disagrees, the reference Fontes states in Col. 5, lines 46 – 58, the examiner notes that the blended SYN cookies are used to authenticate the client that is on the other side of the firewall in the external network, one the client has been authenticated, then normal TCP connections can be used to facilitate information exchange between the client device and the server.

New Rejection:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being taught by Jin-Ho Kim and Saewoong Bahk, "A connection Management

10/709,255 Art Unit: 2134

Protocol for Stateful Inspection Firewalls in Multi- Homed Networks," published 4/2003.

Kim teaches:

Claim 1. A method of sharing a state between stateful firewalls on a n-mltiple entry/exit point (MEP) network for data exchange between a server and a client through firewalls physically remote from each other, comprising the steps of:

(a) one of the firewalls receiving an SYN packet sent from the client to the serve, wherein the firewalls share a synchronized time counter, which is increased at regular intervals, and a same secret key, wherein the SYN packet comprises an Initial Sequence Number (ISN) (Page 264, section 3: "Modified SYN Cookie," the examiner notes that the reference teaches a time counter and secret key, and firewalls);

10/709,255

Art Unit: 2134

(b) the firewall creating a modified SYN cookie (hereinafter referred to as an m.SYN cookie), modifying the SYN packet using the m.SYN cookie and sending the SYN packet to the server, and the server sending a SYN/ACK packet to the client in response to the SYN packet wherein the m.SYN cookie comprises upper bits of the ISN of the SYN packet, bits of time indicated by the time counter of the firewall, which creates the m.SYN cookie, at a time of creation of the m.SYN cookie, bits of an output value of a hash function, and at least some bits of the time indicated by the time counter of the firewall, wherein the hash function comprises variables for a secret key, a source address, a source port number, a destination address, a destination port number, at least some partial bits of the ISN, a time indicated by the time counter of the firewall, which creates the m.SYN cookie, at the time of creation of the m.SYN cookie (Page 263 & Page 264, section 2 &

10/709,255 Art Unit: 2134

3: "Support of Reincarnation of a TCP Connection" & "Modified SYN Cookie," the examiner notes that the reference teaches a time counter, upper bits of a ISN, firewall time counter, hash function);

(c) the firewall, which has received tile SYN/ACK packet, extracting a firewall identifier IDa,. from the SYN/ACK packet and sending the SYN/ACK packet to a corresponding one of the firewalls, the corresponding firewall searching a state table for connection information and sending the connection information, together with the SYN/ACK packet, to the firewall, which has received the SYN/ACK packet (Page 262, section 1: "Protocol Design," the examiner notes that the modified cookies uses a firewall ID); and

10/709,255 Art Unit: 2134

(d) the firewall, which has re-received the SYN/ACK packet, updating the state table, changing an acknowledgement number of the SYN/ACK packet to an Initial Sequence Number (ISNc)+1, and sending the SYN/ACK packet to the client (Page 262, section 1: "Protocol Design," the examiner notes that steps #9 & #10 of the protocol states that the ISN (initial sequence number) be incremented by one and sent to the client, also please see figure #4, entitled "connection state exchange protocol").

10/709,255 Art Unit: 2134

Previous Office action dated 7/30/2007:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng et al. (US Patent # 7107609 B2) in view of Fontes et al. (US Patent # 7058718 B2).

• Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior arts of record in the body of this action for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. Applicant should consider the entire prior art as applicable as to the limitations of the claims. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the response, to consider fully the entire references as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior arts or disclosed by the examiner.

10/709,255

Art Unit: 2134

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later. than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dant B. Shaifer - Harriman whose telephone number is 571-272-7910. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday: 8:00am - 5:30pm Alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on (571) 272-3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/709,255

Art Unit: 2134

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

D.S.H

12/ 20/2007

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

12,21,07